

# High-throughput microfluidic platform for generating, culturing and conditioning cell microaggregates

Paola Occhetta<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Centola<sup>2</sup>, Beatrice Tonnarelli<sup>2</sup>, Alberto Redaelli<sup>1</sup>, Ivan Martin<sup>2</sup>, Marco Rasponi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy, marco.rasponi@polimi.it;*

<sup>2</sup>*Tissue Engineering Laboratory, University Hospital of Basel, Basel, Switzerland*

First stages of embryonic limb development - namely cell condensation, expansion of an undifferentiated mesenchymal cell pool and chondrogenesis - are tightly regulated by specific signaling pathways (Wnt, FGF, TGF/BMP)[1]. A microfluidic platform for generation and culture of cell microaggregates was developed, consisting of two functional elements: (i) a serial dilution generator and (ii) a cell culture area. Dilutions of chemicals could be generated and delivered to 6 downstream culture units, each comprised of 30 cubic chambers (side 0.15 mm). The microfluidic platform was used to assess the spontaneous condensation of hBM-MSCs. Their 3D proliferation potential was evaluated and compared to that obtained through standard macroscale models[2]. Finally, the onset of chondrogenesis was assessed at the microscale. Interestingly, the platform allowed hBM-MSCs to undergo spontaneous condensation in micro chambers within 3 hours upon seeding. By activating key signaling pathways, much higher proliferation rates were observed within the microaggregates compared to the standard macroscale model (EDU+ cells about 30% vs 1%). Immunofluorescence analyses revealed an increase in collagen II expression within the microaggregates from day 3 to day 7, ultimately demonstrating chondrogenic differentiation within the microfluidic system. In conclusion, limb bud models obtained through the proposed microfluidic platform provided a more uniform response to external cues in terms of cell proliferation and pre-chondrogenic differentiation compared to traditional 3D approaches.

[1] D. ten Berge, S.A. Brugmann, J.A. Helms, R. Nusse *Development*, **135** (2008), p. 3247-57.

[2] M. Centola, B. Tonnarelli, S. Schren, N. Glaser, A. Barbero, I. Martin *Stem Cells Dev*, **22** (2013), p. 2849-58.